UNITED	STA'	TES	DIS'	ΓRΙ	CT	CO	URT	
WESTER	N DI	STR	ICT	OF	NE	W	YORK	

AMANDA FRANKLIN,

Plaintiff,

V.

GENTECH SCIENTIFIC LLC, LAKELET CAPITAL LLC, CONQUER SCIENTIFIC LLC, PURITY SCIENTIFIC LLC, and YVETTE PAGANO

Defendants.



22-CV-1005 (JLS) (HKS)

DECISION AND ORDER

Plaintiff Amanda Franklin commenced this action pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1994 (Title VII), as amended by the Pregnancy

Discrimination Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., and the New York State Human

Rights Law ("NYSHRL"), N.Y. Executive Law § 290 et seq., alleging discrimination and retaliation because of her pregnancy. Dkt. 1. On February 27, 2023, Lakelet

Capital LLC ("Lakelet") moved to dismiss the complaint for failure to allege an employer-employee relationship as to Lakelet. Dkt. 11. On March 1, 2023, the

Court referred the case to United States Magistrate Judge H. Kenneth Schroeder,

Jr., for all proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B). Dkt. 16.

Presently before the Court is Judge Schroeder's Report, Recommendation, and Order ("R&R") recommending that Lakelet's motion to dismiss be granted.

Dkt. 24. No party filed objections to the R&R, and the time to do so has passed.

A district court may accept, reject, or modify the findings or recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). A district court must conduct a *de novo* review of those portions of a magistrate judge's recommendation to which a party objects. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). But neither 28 U.S.C. § 636 nor Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72 requires a district court to review the recommendation of a magistrate judge to which objections are not raised. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985).

Although not required to do so in light of the above, this Court nevertheless has reviewed Judge Schroeder's R&R and the relevant record. In the absence of objections, the Court accepts and adopts Judge Schroeder's recommendation to grant Lakelet's motion to dismiss.

Case 1:22-cv-01005-JLS-HKS Document 25 Filed 06/30/23 Page 3 of 3

For the reasons stated above and in the R&R, Lakelet's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 11) is GRANTED. The case is referred back to Judge Schroeder for further proceedings consistent with the referral order of March 1, 2023. Dkt. 16.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

June 30, 2023

Buffalo, New York

JOHN L. SINATRA, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE